



Post-Flood Soil Degradation and its Impact on Agricultural Productivity in Pakistan

Muhammad Javid Qamar

Soil Fertility -Field, Bahawalpur

Muhammad Akram Qazi

Soil Fertility Research Institute, Lahore

Ijaz Mehboob

Provincial Reference Fertilizer Testing Laboratory, Raiwind

Abdul Ghaffar Khan

Soil Fertility Research Institute, Punjab, Lahore

Naeem Akhtar

University College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Sargodha

Aftab Ahmad

BAYER Pakistan Pvt., Ltd., Lahore

Shrish Jameel

Soil and Water Testing Lab, Bahawalnagar

Beenish Butt

Soil and Water Testing Laboratory for Research, Multan

Muhammad Naeem Akhtar

Soil Fertility Field, Multan

Alamgir Alvi

Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian.

Abdul Khaliq

Soil and Water Testing Lab Rajanpur

Waqar Elahi

Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, Rajanpur

Muhammad Nadeem

Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, Hafizabad

Fareeha Akram

Soil and Water Testing Lab, Nankana Sahib

Ghulam Mustafa

Mango Research Institute, Multan

Muhammad Irfan Yousaf*

Cotton Research Station, Bahawalpur

Muhammad Arif

Soil & Water Testing Laboratory for Research, Multan

*Corresponding Author: irfanpbg.uaf@gmail.com

Abstract

Pakistan's floodplains underpin national food security, yet repeated extreme floods (2010, 2022, and renewed events in 2025) have accelerated soil degradation via erosion, waterlogging, salinity/sodicity, nutrient imbalances, and biological/contaminant risks. The 2022 Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) estimated PKR 800 bn in direct damage and PKR 1,986 bn in losses to agriculture; ~4.4 million acres of cropland were damaged and ~0.8 million livestock perished, with Sindh and Balochistan bearing 93% of sectoral impacts.

Recent floods again submerged >1.8 million acres, severely hitting rice, cotton, and maize. Mechanistically, floods drive topsoil loss, hypoxia, salinity/sodicity through shallow water tables, and large nutrient redistribution. In the Indus Basin, long-standing irrigation-induced waterlogging/salinity amplifies flood damage and complicates recovery. Evidence-based remediation, drainage rehabilitation, gypsum for sodic soils, organic amendments/biochar, and climate-smart rotations, can shorten productivity slumps.

Keyword: Soil Degradation, Impact, Agricultural Productivity, Pakistan, Post-Flood

1. Introduction

Floods are a recurrent feature of Pakistan's monsoon-dominated hydrology, but in the last two decades their frequency, spatial extent, and agronomic consequences have escalated, converting episodic shocks into a near-structural risk for the country's food systems. Agriculture remains central to livelihoods and macroeconomic stability, recent government statistics place the sector at roughly 23–24% of GDP and ~37% of total employment, with strong interlinkages to manufacturing (textiles, sugar) and external accounts (rice exports) (Zereyesus et al., 2025). Flood exposure in this context is especially consequential: flood pulses do not merely damage standing crops; they can set off multi-year soil degradation cycles, erosion, waterlogging/hypoxia, salinity/sodicity, and nutrient imbalance, that suppress yields well beyond a single season (Ongayev et al., 2025).

The 2022 “super floods” crystalized these vulnerabilities. The government-led Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) estimated USD 14.9 billion in damages and USD 15.2 billion in economic losses across sectors, with agriculture accounting for the largest share; approximately 33 million people were affected and 7.9 million displaced (GOP, 2022). FAO's contemporaneous alerts documented severe losses to Kharif crops (rice, cotton, maize, sugarcane, vegetables and orchards), underscoring how inundation during critical phenological stages translates into sharp production shortfalls and disrupted input/output markets (FAO, 2022). Since then, climate risk diagnostics consistently place Pakistan among the most affected countries globally: the Global Climate Risk Index 2025 ranks Pakistan 1st for the year 2022 due to the magnitude of flood-related losses, even while its longer-term rank is less extreme, highlighting the outsized impact of that single year (Adil et al, 2025).

The 2025 monsoon again submerged large tracts of cropland in Punjab and Sindh, with early situation reports flagging extensive rice-zone inundation (~220,000 ha mapped by Crop Monitor), delayed rabi land preparation, and downstream risks to wheat establishment and horticultural supply chains (GeoGlam, 2025). Beyond direct yield loss, such sequences amplify soil system stresses. Prolonged saturation depresses soil oxygen, shifts redox conditions, accelerates denitrification and nitrate leaching, and can mobilize reduced forms of metals (Fe, Mn) that impair root function; receding waters over flat alluvium often leave fine-textured silt that seals surfaces, impedes infiltration, and requires aggressive re-leveling. These are classic flood-soil dynamics well characterized in the agronomic literature and repeatedly observed in the Indus basin.

A defining feature of Pakistan's flood risk is its interaction with legacy irrigation externalities. Decades of canal irrigation under weak drainage governance have left millions of hectares susceptible to waterlogging and salinity, particularly at system “tail” reaches

where conveyance losses and inequitable distribution maintain shallow water tables. Reviews and basin diagnostics estimate 4.5–6 million ha affected by salinity/waterlogging, with localized sodicity (high SAR/ESP) degrading structure, infiltration, and rootzone aeration, factors that magnify flood damage and slow recovery (Quereshi and Sarwar, 2009). After major inundations, evaporative concentration on poorly drained fields can rapidly raise EC and ESP, locking farmers into low-productivity equilibria unless reclamation (gypsum + leaching) and drainage restoration are prioritized.

Recent technology and policy shifts may unintentionally aggravate the post-flood soil problem. A rapid diffusion of solar-powered tube wells has driven cheaper, more frequent pumping, enabling acreage expansion of water-intensive rice but also accelerating groundwater depletion and salinity risks where return flows accumulate, an issue now widely reported in Punjab. At the same time, macro-fiscal reforms (e.g., the 2024–2025 IMF program) are nudging provinces to raise agricultural taxation and rationalize subsidies, potentially constraining public budgets for drainage rehabilitation unless climate finance and disaster-risk instruments are mobilized at scale. These dynamics elevate the importance of cost-effective soil rehabilitation packages that farmers can adopt quickly after floods.

Against this backdrop, this review addresses a specific gap: while numerous assessments document the economic footprint of floods, fewer synthesize, for Pakistan, the soil-process pathways through which floods depress productivity across seasons and what evidence-based countermeasures (engineering, chemical, and agronomic) can shorten recovery cycles. We therefore (i) summarize recent flood impacts on agriculture (2010–2025) with emphasis on 2022 and 2025; (ii) review mechanisms of flood-driven soil degradation in Pakistan’s alluvial, irrigated contexts; (iii) assess crop-specific agronomic implications for rice, cotton, maize, and wheat; and (iv) evaluate rehabilitation strategies, from drainage and gypsum-based sodic soil reclamation to organic amendments/biochar and rotation design, drawing on basin studies and international best practice. By framing post-flood soil degradation as both a biophysical and governance problem, we argue that productivity recovery hinges on pairing on-farm measures (soil amendments, land-shaping, varietal choice, nutrient timing) with system-level investments (drainage O&M, water table control, and groundwater regulation in the era of cheap solar pumping).

This review situates these technical options within Pakistan’s evolving risk landscape. With successive monsoon seasons now interacting with El Niño/La Niña variability, compound hazards (floods followed by drought-like canal shortages; or floods plus pest/disease outbreaks) are increasingly plausible, and humanitarian analyses already link 2025 floods to surges in water- and vector-borne disease, factors that can further depress farm labor productivity and impede timely land preparation. In short, post-flood soil management is a climate-adaptation priority: addressing it can protect farm incomes, stabilize staple supplies, and reduce the tail-risk that flood shocks cascade into protracted agrarian decline.

2. Major Flood Events and Agricultural Losses

Pakistan’s flood history since 2010 shows a repeating pattern of high-magnitude events that reset agricultural systems. The 2010 mega-floods were nationwide in scope, affecting roughly one-fifth of the land area and an estimated 18–24 million people, and damaged over two

million hectares of cropped land, with economic losses around USD 10 billion. Provincial tallies attribute about 662 thousand hectares of crop loss to Punjab and roughly 357 thousand hectares to Sindh; the geomorphic signature included wide levee-break fans, loss of organic-rich topsoil, and fine silt deposition that sealed seedbeds and disrupted infiltration. In 2014, riverine flooding along the Jhelum–Chenab system again hit agriculture across Punjab (and into AJK/GB), with at least one million acres of cropland affected and around 250,000 farmers impacted. While the spatial footprint was more corridor-bound than 2010, the event still produced severe field-level losses in low-lying tracts.

The 2022 “super floods” were exceptional in depth and duration. Government assessments placed total damages at USD 14.9 billion and losses at USD 15.2 billion, with agriculture bearing the largest share (GOP, 2022). Approximately 4.41 million acres of agricultural land were damaged nationwide and about one million head of livestock were lost, while drainage and irrigation assets sustained widespread damage (WBG, 2023). Remote-sensing in Sindh mapped about 2.9 million hectares under water, nearly 18% of the province, affecting roughly 57% of its cropland during key growth stages (Qamer et al., 2023). These field conditions translated into commodity-level impacts: USDA revised the 2022/23 cotton production down ~19% to ~5.0 million bales, and FAO reports flagged severe losses across Kharif staples (rice, cotton, maize, sugarcane, vegetables, and orchards). Critically, the 2022 sequence also set up a classic post-flood soil-degradation spiral: persistent waterlogging and shallow water tables, silt-sealed surfaces, and subsequent salinity/sodicity build-up on poorly drained fields, factors that depressed Kharif yields and delayed Rabi land preparation.

In 2025, preliminary situation reports again highlight large agricultural losses, particularly in Punjab and Sindh. More than 1.8 million acres of farmland were inundated in Punjab, with a mixed damage profile across rice, cotton, maize, vegetables, and sugarcane. Nationally, about 220,000 hectares of rice were reported flooded during the peak assessments. Displacement estimates for Punjab alone range from roughly 2.5–2.6 million people (Piracha and Kamal, 2025). Because the timing overlapped rice grain-fill and cotton boll-set, the immediate yield penalty is compounded by delayed field drying and land preparation for wheat (Rabi), increasing the likelihood of reduced harvested area and weaker stand establishment. As in previous events, the agronomic drag extends beyond the visible floodwaters: oxygen stress and redox shifts in the root zone, denitrification and nutrient wash-out, and (as water recedes) evaporative concentration that raises EC and exchangeable sodium, locking some fields into low-productivity equilibria unless drainage and soil-reclamation steps (e.g., gypsum + leaching, organic matter additions, land-shaping) are prioritized.

3. Flood-Driven Soil Degradation Pathways

3.1 Water erosion, sediment burial, and surface sealing

High-energy floodwater strips organic-rich topsoil and fine particles, lowering cation exchange capacity (CEC), water-holding capacity, and nutrient stocks (Table 1). Where breaches form, coarse-to-fine deposition fans bury seedbeds and alter texture; as water recedes, newly deposited silt/mud crusts can seal the surface, impede infiltration, and delay land preparation (Reddy et al., 1984). Evidence from the 2010 floods noted widespread soil erosion and silt deposition that “hampered timely cultivation” in many districts.

Field diagnostics. Visual: laminar silt crusts; penetrometer resistance spikes; lowered soil organic matter in surface samples; micro-relief requiring re-levelling. *Immediate responses.* Rapid field drainage; shallow tillage or spike-tooth harrowing to break crusts; laser re levelling; vegetated buffer strips at field margins for future events. *Medium-term:* conservation agriculture to rebuild aggregation and SOC.

3.2 Waterlogging, hypoxia, and redox shifts

Prolonged saturation depresses soil O₂, suppressing aerobic microbes and roots while favoring anaerobic communities; denitrification and nitrate leaching accelerate, and reduced forms of Fe/Mn can rise to phytotoxic levels (Elena et al., 2019). Meta-analysis across crops shows waterlogging cuts yield by ~33% on average, with stronger penalties at reproductive stages (rice, cotton, maize > wheat) (Tian et al., 2021). Mechanistic studies in flooded soils document rapid N losses and altered N cycling under anoxic conditions. Flooding also reorganizes microbial communities, lowering aerobic diversity and sometimes elevating potential pathogens, further reducing nutrient acquisition efficiency.

Field diagnostics. Standing water; shallow water table; rotten-egg odor (reduced S); reddish-black mottles; leaf chlorosis from N loss. *Immediate responses.* Surface drains and pumping to lower water table; raised beds/ridges to improve aeration (demonstrated mitigation for cereals). *Fertilizer management.* Split N applications after drainage; foliar N/K where root function is impaired (consistent with recent flood advisories).

3.3 Salinity and sodicity rise after floods (evapo-concentration + shallow groundwater)

In the flat, irrigated Indus plains, post-flood shallow water tables plus high evaporation often concentrates salts at the surface. Over decades, canal seepage and inadequate drainage have already left millions of hectares affected by waterlogging/salinity; floods superimpose acute saturation on this chronic baseline, accelerating salinity (ECe) and sodicity (ESP/SAR) problems (Islam, 2022). Classic basin reviews and recent syntheses highlight the scale and persistence of this constraint.

Terminology & thresholds (for lab reports):

- Saline soil: ECe > 4 dS m⁻¹ (some authorities now flag impacts beginning ~2 dS m⁻¹ for sensitive crops).
- Sodic soil: ESP > 15 (often accompanied by high pH and dispersion).
- Saline-sodic: Elevated ECe and SAR/ESP (structure degrades once salts leach without Ca²⁺ replacement).

Why sodicity matters? Excess Na⁺ disperses clays, collapses macro-porosity, and kills infiltration, locking fields into waterlogging–salinity feedbacks. Gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O) supplies Ca²⁺ to replace exchangeable Na⁺, but only works with leaching/drainage. FAO guidance sets the operational definitions and reclamation logic; agronomic papers provide working gypsum requirement (GR) formulations used in Pakistan.

Field diagnostics. White crusts; poor tith/“puddling” when wet; hard clods when dry; ECe/SAR/ESP lab values above thresholds. *Management. Chemical:* Gypsum according to soil test–based GR (apply, incorporate lightly, then leach); where irrigation water is sodic, gypsum also conditions water to lower SAR (Cucci et al., 2012). *Hydraulic:* Subsurface or

improved surface drainage to carry displaced Na⁺/salts out (Tian et al., 2023). *Organic matter*: Biochar/OM to rebuild aggregation and CEC; recent studies (including Pakistan and arid contexts) show improved structure, lower salinity, and yield gains under salt stress (Irin and Hasanuzzaman, 2024).

3.4 Nutrient imbalances and losses

Floods leach mobile nutrients (NO₃⁻-N, K, S) and redistribute P and micronutrients via erosion/sedimentation; subsequent redox oscillations destabilize availability. Controlled studies show higher N losses in leachates after flooding, especially where preceding drought enhanced pulses (Rahmawati et al., 2025).

Field diagnostics. Pale foliage (N), lodging (K deficiency), patchy vigor after silt deposition. Management. Post-flood soil testing; front-load starter N after drainage; split doses to reduce losses; targeted P/K and micronutrients; foliar feeding to bypass damaged roots (widely reflected in flood advisories).

3.5 Biological and contaminant risks

Floodwaters transport microbial inoculum and contaminants; prolonged anaerobiosis shifts communities, sometimes elevating plant-pathogenic or human-health-relevant taxa. Reviews document O₂ depletion, microbial turnover, and impaired plant–microbe exchanges that depress nutrient acquisition. In Pakistan’s flooded fields (2010, 2022), silt/mud layers also carried residues that required careful field hygiene and drainage before replanting.

Table 1: Flood-induced soil issues, diagnostics, and responses

Soil issue	Mechanism in floods	Quick field diagnostics	Priority actions (0–3 months)	Structural fixes (season+ scale)
Erosion / burial / sealing	Shear removes topsoil; fine silt crusts seal surface, block infiltration	Silt crust, low infiltration, low surface OM	Drain; shallow tillage to break crust; laser re-levelling; protect seedbeds	Buffer strips; conservation agriculture; maintain embankments.
Waterlogging & hypoxia	O ₂ depletion → denitrification; reduced Fe/Mn; root dysfunction	Standing water, mottles, chlorosis	Drain fast; ridges/raised beds; split N; foliar N/K	Field drains; tertiary drains; redesign low spots.
Salinity rise	Evapo-concentration after flooding; saline groundwater up flux	ECe > 2–4 dS m ⁻¹ ; salt crusts	Leach with good-quality water when water table is low	Subsurface drainage; irrigation scheduling to avoid salt build-up.
Sodicity rise	High Na ⁺ disperses clay, collapses structure	ESP > 15; high SAR; sticky when wet, hard when dry	Apply gypsum to GR; leach; light incorporation; avoid Na-rich water	Long-term OM/biochar; drainage O&M; water quality conditioning.

Nutrient imbalance	NO ₃ ⁻ leaching; denitrification; P/K redistribution in sediments	Pale leaves; patchy vigor; low tissue N/K	Soil test; starter N after drainage; split N; targeted P/K; foliar feeds	Balanced rotations; green manures; precision fertigation.
Microbial/contaminant shifts	Anaerobiosis alters communities; pathogen risks; residues in silt	Odors; disease outbreaks after receding	Drain; sanitation; seed treatments; avoid early tillage in wet soils	Improve flood sanitation protocols; safe water management.

4. Crop-Level Impacts

4.1 Rice

Paddy is more flood-tolerant than most field crops, but extreme monsoon events still depress yields by burying seedbeds with silt, prolonging hypoxia beyond varietal tolerance, and forcing late replanting that pushes harvests into cooler, disease-prone windows (Dar et al., 2013). In 2022, FAO reported severe losses to Kharif rice nationally, with Sindh the epicenter; a Sentinel-based assessment estimated ~2.5 million ha inundated in Sindh (~18% of the province) and ~1.1 million ha of cropland affected during critical growth windows (Khan et al., 2025). Such conditions reduce tiller density, panicle fertility, and harvest index even where plants survive submergence. In 2025, the Crop Monitor/Reliefweb synthesis mapped ~220,000 ha of rice flooded by mid-September and warned of further area/yield losses plus spillovers into the Rabi calendar (land too wet to prepare on time). Together, these events illustrate how staggered inundation, first at establishment, then near grain fill, can drive both yield and harvested-area penalties for Pakistan's export-oriented rice sector.

4.2 Cotton

Cotton's shallow root system and sensitivity at flowering/boll-set make it highly vulnerable to standing water, root hypoxia, and boll rot (Qian et al., 2025). During the 2022 floods, USDA cut Pakistan's cotton forecast ~19% to 5.0 million bales, citing damage in Sindh and southern Punjab and reduced harvested area; import needs were revised up accordingly (USDA, 2022). Field reports of lint staining and boll drop aligned with the prolonged waterlogging documented in remote sensing. Preliminary 2025 reporting again flagged cotton among the most affected crops in inundated districts of Punjab, implying renewed area and quality risks. Beyond seasonal losses, repeated flood episodes push farmers toward shorter-season, lower-risk alternatives, structurally constraining the domestic cotton-textile value chain unless drainage and field trafficability are improved.

4.3 Maize

Maize is acutely sensitive to waterlogging at vegetative and silking stages; even brief hypoxia can collapse photosynthesis and spike lodging, while silt burial destroys stands in low-lying fields (Nikolić et al., 2025). FAO's 2022 special alert listed maize among the worst-hit Kharif crops alongside rice and cotton, particularly where floods arrived before tasseling (GeoGlam, 2025). In 2025, satellite diagnostics similarly flagged maize fields in Punjab's flood corridors

as damaged together with rice and cotton. Because maize is a key poultry-feed input, flood-induced shocks propagate into feed prices and livestock margins; an indirect agricultural loss channel that often outlasts the water itself.

4.4 Sugarcane and Vegetables/Orchards

Sugarcane tolerates transient wet feet, but multi-week inundation reduces stalk population and sucrose accumulation; lodging complicates harvest logistics and increases ratoon failure (Kalairaj et al., 2024). Vegetables and many orchard systems are even less tolerant: root anoxia, soil-borne disease, and sediment deposition cause high mortality and quality downgrades. Both categories were highlighted in FAO's 2022 alert as bearing "significant losses," reflecting their concentration in flood-plain belts and proximity to clogged drainage courses. Horticulture losses are especially critical for nutrition and income diversification in peri-urban zones around Sindh and Punjab.

4.5 Wheat (Rabi carry-over effects)

Wheat losses are largely indirect: late drainage, silt-sealed surfaces, damaged watercourses, and input/logistics bottlenecks delay land preparation and sowing (optimal windows fall from late October through November in much of Punjab/Sindh) (GeoGlam, 2025). After 2022, national assessments emphasized irrigation/drainage asset damage and the need to restore field trafficability to protect the Rabi season; similar warnings reappeared in September 2025 as floodwaters lingered in rice-cotton belts of Punjab, jeopardizing timely seedbed formation. Even where sown, post-flood soils often show depressed infiltration and N losses (denitrification/leaching), raising the need for early split-N strategies and, on sodic patches, gypsum-assisted reclamation to avoid stand gaps.

4.6 Cross-crop synthesis

Across crops, two patterns are consistent. First, timing matters: inundation at establishment (rice/maize/vegetables) or at reproductive stages (rice grain-fill, cotton boll-set) amplifies losses (Xu et al., 2024). Second, soil condition mediates recovery: where drainage is weak and legacy salinity/sodicity is present, the post-flood penalty persists into the next season, cutting wheat stands and fertilizer use efficiency (Stavi et al., 2021). Remote-sensing studies from 2022 and situational bulletins in 2025 both place the heaviest agricultural impacts in Sindh and the rice-cotton belt of Punjab, underscoring the need for basin-scale drainage rehabilitation alongside field-level practices (raised beds, laser leveling, split N, and targeted gypsum + leaching on sodic soils).

5. Why Pakistan's Soils Are Especially Vulnerable

Pakistan's flood risk intersects with a century of irrigation-driven soil change in the Indus Basin. The basin's gravity-flow canal network, one of the world's largest, delivers massive surface diversions but also leaks; chronic canal seepage and weak drainage governance have pushed water tables upward in many command areas, producing long-standing waterlogging and salinity that pre-condition soils to fail under flood stress (Qureshi et al., 2020). Classic and recent assessments describe millions of hectares affected, with structure collapse, poor infiltration, and salinized/shallow groundwater across large tracts of Punjab and Sindh. These legacies mean that when floodwater lingers, hypoxia is more severe and the post-flood evapo-concentration of salts is faster than in better-drained systems.

The scale of salt-affected land compounds the problem. Reviews and country reports repeatedly estimate ~4.5 million hectares (or more, depending on method and year) as affected by salinity/waterlogging in irrigated Pakistan, with a large share in the southern and tail-end commands where conveyance losses and inequities in water delivery maintain shallow water tables (Qureshi et al., 2016). This exposure is not uniform: pockets of sodicity (high ESP/SAR) are common on clayey alluvium, where Na^+ disperses clays and collapses macropores, locking fields into a cycle of poor infiltration, waterlogging, and further salinity.

A rapid, largely unregulated shift to solar-powered tube wells since 2023 has altered the water balance at farm scale. Cheap solar has expanded pumping hours and encouraged more frequent irrigation, especially for rice, accelerating groundwater decline in parts of Punjab and risking saline up-coning and lateral salt movement where aquifers are brackish. Early administrative maps and on-the-ground reporting indicate critically deep water tables expanding between 2020 and 2024; experts are warning that without well mapping, metering, and recharge management, the solar boom can worsen salinity/waterlogging interactions after floods by changing local gradients and drying profiles. In short: cleaner energy does not automatically mean sustainable water, and the soil pays the price.

Soil texture and geomorphology add another layer of vulnerability. Much of the cropped plain consists of fine-textured alluvial silts and clays; when floodwater recedes, fine sediment forms crusts that seal the surface and impede infiltration (Li et al., 2014). On sodic subsoils, structural dispersion makes this sealing more persistent, requiring mechanical surface breaking and laser leveling before seedbed formation. The same clay-rich horizons that retain water for crops also slow drainage after extraordinary monsoon pulses, prolonging anoxia and redox stress in roots.

Drainage investments (SCARP legacy works, surface drains, siphons) have large O&M needs, and fragmented responsibilities across provincial departments reduce upkeep; when embankments and tertiary drains fail, fields remain saturated for weeks, magnifying soil damage and pushing the Rabi calendar late. Recurrent findings from World Bank basin diagnostics highlight that governance of drainage, and not just new infrastructure, is pivotal to stopping the slide from floodwater to chronic soil degradation.

Finally, reclamation is technically feasible but financially sticky. Gypsum-based sodic soil reclamation works in Pakistan when paired with adequate leaching and drainage, and FAO guidance remains the operational reference; yet smallholders often under-apply gypsum (cost/availability) or lack safe drainage to flush displaced Na^+ , so reclamation stalls and sodicity rebounds after each flood. Long-term gains come when chemical amendments are combined with organic matter or biochar to rebuild aggregation and CEC, an approach supported by regional agronomy and FAO manuals but dependent on credit, inputs, and coordinated water management.

Synthesis. Pakistan's soils are vulnerable not just because floods are severe, but because floods arrive on top of: (i) a salinity/waterlogging baseline created by canal seepage and weak drainage; (ii) accelerating, often unmanaged groundwater pumping (now turbocharged by solar); (iii) fine-textured alluvium that seals and drains slowly; and (iv) fiscal/coordination

limits that delay reclamation and O&M (Qureshi et al., 2008). Effective adaptation therefore hinges on system-level drainage and groundwater governance alongside field-level practices (timely drainage, gypsum + leaching on sodic soils, and organic matter rebuilding).

6. Rehabilitation and Management Options

6.1 Drainage first: restoring trafficability and oxygen

The single most important post-flood action is to get water off fields and oxygen back into the root zone. In Pakistan's flat, alluvial plains this means rapidly clearing choked surface drains and repairing cuts in watercourses so fields can dry uniformly enough to carry machinery. On-farm works, shallow collector drains cut to outlet points, temporary siphons across berms, and re-grading of low micro-depressions, shorten the period of hypoxia that drives denitrification losses and root disease. Where the water table remains perched, small diesel or solar pumps used for dewatering can be highly cost-effective if they discharge to functioning tertiary or main drains. Once trafficable, a single pass with a light implement (spike-tooth harrow or rotary hoe) breaks the silt crust that commonly forms after floods and restores infiltration ahead of sowing.

6.2 Managing water tables to prevent secondary salinization

As the flood recedes, shallow water tables can climb back under hot, evaporative conditions, driving capillary rise and salt accumulation at the surface. Farmers should resist the urge to "flush" repeatedly when the water table is high; instead, schedule leaching only when drainage outflow is available or the water table has fallen sufficiently to create a downward gradient. In districts with a history of waterlogging, rehabilitating subsurface or interceptor drains where they previously existed pays dividends by preventing salts from re-appearing after the first irrigation. Community-level O&M, desilting tertiary drains before Rabi, policing solid-waste dumping into drains, and coordinating outlets across holdings, often makes the difference between a one-season setback and multi-season salinity.

6.3 Chemical reclamation where sodicity is present

On fields that test sodic (elevated ESP/SAR with poor tilth), chemical reclamation should start as soon as the soil is workable. Gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) is the standard amendment: it supplies Ca^{2+} to replace exchangeable Na^+ on the clay complex, improving aggregation and infiltration. Rates should be based on a gypsum requirement (GR) calculation from soil tests, then applied uniformly, lightly incorporated (5–10 cm), and followed by purposeful leaching events to move displaced Na^+ below the root zone. Where canal or tubewell water is sodic, small, regular doses of gypsum as a water conditioner during irrigation can keep SAR in check and protect reclaimed structure. Farmers should avoid heavy tillage before structure improves; otherwise, clods harden and infiltration collapses again.

6.3 Rebuilding soil biology and structure with organic inputs

Floods strip surface organic matter and destabilize aggregates; adding carbon back to the system accelerates recovery. Practical options include farmyard manure, compost, green manures (e.g., sesbania or dhaincha), crop residues retained on the surface, and, where available, biochar blended with manure. These inputs increase microbial activity, cation exchange capacity, and water-stable aggregates, which collectively buffer salinity and

improve nitrogen use efficiency. In sodic settings, combining organic matter with gypsum is more effective than either alone because calcium stabilizes aggregates while carbon feeds the microbial “glue” that keeps them intact.

6.4 Agronomy for the first season back

The first crop after flooding should be chosen to minimize risk and help rehabilitate soil. Short-duration, relatively tolerant cultivars allow flexible sowing dates and reduce the chance of crop failure if pockets remain wet. Raised beds or ridges are strongly recommended for cereals and vegetables because they improve aeration around roots and facilitate furrow drainage; they also make nitrogen top-dressings more efficient by reducing ponding. For fertilizers, front-load a modest starter dose once the soil is aerated, then split the balance to limit denitrification and leaching losses; foliar urea or urea-ammonium nitrate can bridge periods when roots are recovering. Because sediment often dilutes phosphorus in the top few centimeters, early banded P (and where indicated, zinc) pays off. A post-emergence pass with a light implement to crack any re-formed crusts can further improve stand uniformity.

6.5 Land shaping, leveling, and surface protection

Laser land leveling after the first workable dry-down is one of the highest-return interventions in silted fields: it eliminates ponding pockets, improves uniform irrigation, and reduces seedbed failure. On the farm perimeter, re-establishing grassed bunds and narrow vegetative filter strips reduces future sediment inflow and captures eroded fines before they enter fields. In riverside villages, modest realignments of field boundaries to follow micro-contours can shorten local drainage paths and reduce the need for repeated emergency cuts in embankments.

6.6 Crop rotations and cover period strategy

Over the first two seasons, rotations should prioritize soil cover and root diversity. Where calendar space allows, insert a short green-manure or fodder crop between Kharif and Rabi to protect the surface from sealing rains and to add biomass. In cotton–wheat areas hit hard by flooding, consider a one-year shift to maize–wheat or rice–wheat with shorter varieties to rebuild structure before returning to cotton; this also offers opportunities for bed planting and residue retention. In rice ecologies, alternate wetting and drying (AWD) after transplanting saves water and helps keep the water table from rebounding into the salinity danger zone.

6.7 Seed health, pathogens, and residue hygiene

Floods move pathogens. Use disease-free seed and treat seed where recommended (particularly for vegetables and maize). Residues that arrived with flood silt should be managed carefully: incorporate only once soils are aerated; otherwise, anaerobic decay can produce phytotoxic conditions. Where vegetable or orchard mortality was high, remove dead material promptly and solarize small nursery plots to reduce inoculum pressure for replanting.

6.8 Community and policy enablers

Many of the most effective measures are collective. Clearing tertiary drains, agreeing on pump-out points, and coordinating field access roads prevent one farmer’s solution from

becoming the neighbor's problem. At district level, fast-tracked rehabilitation of siphons, culverts, and minor drains unlocks thousands of private decisions on sowing and fertilizer use. Risk-transfer instruments, area-yield or weather-indexed insurance, coupled with timely seed and fodder packages, help smallholders take the necessary steps (gypsum purchase, early fertilizer) rather than defaulting to low-input, low-yield equilibria. Finally, groundwater governance matters: solar pumping should be paired with basic rules of thumb (daytime windows, shared wells on saline fringes, and mapping of brackish zones) so that post-flood recovery does not trigger a new cycle of salinity.

6.9 Monitoring and decision support

A practical recovery plan includes a short soil test panel two to three weeks after fields become workable: EC (or ECe), pH, SAR/ESP where possible, and available N-P-K (plus Zn in rice ecologies). These results, combined with simple field checks (infiltration after a set volume of water, penetrometer resistance, and visible crusting), determine whether to prioritize gypsum and leaching, organic matter, or just precision leveling and split fertilization. Keeping a district-level watch on water table depth, via tube-well soundings or simple observation wells, guides the timing of any leaching irrigations and signals when to shift from emergency drainage back to normal irrigation scheduling.

Conclusions

Floods now act less as rare shocks and more as recurring stressors layered onto chronic salinity/waterlogging in the Indus Basin. The agronomic penalty arises from coupled processes, erosion, hypoxia, salt/sodicity rise, and nutrient disorder, that depress yields in rice, cotton, maize, and delay wheat establishment. Pakistan can shorten recovery cycles by (i) prioritizing drainage/irrigation system repairs; (ii) reclaiming sodic soils with gypsum plus leaching and organic matter; (iii) deploying flood-tolerant, short-duration crops and conservation tillage; and (iv) institutionalizing risk transfer (index insurance) and climate-smart planning in floodplains.

Author's Contribution

Qamar, Yousaf; *Conceptualization*, Qazi, Mehboob, Khan, Akhtar; *Supervision, Planning*, Qamar, Yousaf, Ahmad, Jameel, Butt, Akhtar, Alvi, Khaliq, Arif: *Data retrieval, Writing – original draft*; Elahi, Nadeem, Akram, Mustafa; *Writing – review & editing*

References

- Zereyesus, Y. A., Cardell, L., Farris, J., Ajewole, K., Johnson, M. E., Lin, J., ... & Zeng, W. 2025. Global Food Assessment, 2025–35.
- Ongayev, M., Nasiyev, B., Japarov, R., Ayupov, Y., Umbetkaliyev, N., & Denizbayev, S. 2025. Influence of Flooding on Soil Properties and the Agrobiological Indicators of Natural Herbage in the Farmlands of West Kazakhstan. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences* 2025 xx(x): xx-xx.

- Ministry of Planning Development & Special Initiatives, Govt of Pakistan (GOP). (2022). PAKISTAN FLOODS 2022: post-disaster needs assessment. Data retrieve from United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) website <https://climatepromise.undp.org/research-and-reports/pakistan-floods-2022-post-disaster-needs-assessment>
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2022. The Islamic Republic of Pakistan: Heavy monsoon rains and subsequent flooding affected large numbers of people and caused widespread devastation to the agricultural sector. GIEWS Special Alert <https://openknowledge.fao.org/bitstreams/0f81a049-9dc6-4f14-acc0-5ba7e8fdac13/download>
- Adil, L., Eckstein, D., Künzel, V., & Schäfer, L. (2025). Climate risk index 2025. Climate Risk Index 2025: Who suffers most from the extreme weather events. Germanwatch. Data retrieved from <https://www.germanwatch.org/sites/default/files/202502/Climate%20Risk%20Index%202025.pdf>
- GEOGLAM Crop Monitor. (2025, September). Pakistan Flood Impacts on Agriculture & Food Security. Special Report. Data Retrieved from https://static1.squarespace.com/static/636c12f7f9c2561de642a866/t/68cd3f45c115c30085a87084/1758281541546/CM+Special+Report+Pakistan_09_18_2025.pdf
- Quereshi, A. S., & Sarwar, A. (2009). Managing salinity in the Indus Basin of Pakistan. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 7(2), 111-117.
- The World Bank Group (WBG). 2023. JIT Policy Note on Rehabilitation and Reconstruction after the Floods. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the World Bank Group (WBG) and the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) Joint Report. The data retrieved from <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099626301232334200/pdf/IDU035ea00170110004d0d0a6480260ba496cb58.pdf>
- Qamer, F. M., Abbas, S., Ahmad, B., Hussain, A., Salman, A., Muhammad, S., ... & Thapa, S. (2023). A framework for multi-sensor satellite data to evaluate crop production losses: the case study of 2022 Pakistan floods. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 4240.
- Piracha, M. T., & Kamal, H. 2025. A comprehensive study of flood events in Pakistan 1950-2025. Lieutenant General Inam Haider Malik HI (M), Chairman (NDMA). National Disaster Management Authority, Pakistan.
- Reddy, K. R., Patrick, W. H., & Broadbent, F. E. (1984). Nitrogen transformations and loss in flooded soils and sediments. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 13(4), 273-309.
- Elena, M., Katarína, K., Ivana, V., & Zuzana, K. (2019). Responses of medicinal plants to abiotic stresses. In *Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress, Fourth Edition* (pp. 713-753). CRC Press.

- Tian, L. X., Zhang, Y. C., Chen, P. L., Zhang, F. F., Li, J., Yan, F., ... & Feng, B. L. (2021). How does the waterlogging regime affect crop yield? A global meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *12*, 634898.
- Islam, M. (2022). Effectiveness of shallow drains in alleviating waterlogging and salinity in coastal clay soils of Bangladesh.
- Cucci, G., Lacolla, G., Pallara, M., & Laviano, R. (2012). Reclamation of saline and saline-sodic soils using gypsum and leaching water. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *7*(48), 6508-6514.
- Tian, F., Shi, H., Miao, Q., Li, R., Duan, J., Dou, X., & Feng, W. (2023). Soil Water and Salt Transport in Severe Saline–Alkali Soil after Ditching under Subsurface Pipe Drainage Conditions. *Agriculture*, *13*(12), 2196.
- Irin, I. J., & Hasanuzzaman, M. (2024). Organic amendments: enhancing plant tolerance to salinity and metal stress for improved agricultural productivity. *Stresses*, *4*(1), 185-209.
- Rahmawati, D. A., Jannah, R., & Rahmi, A. (2025). The Effect of Flooding on Several Soil Nutrient Contents in Rice Fields in Lempake Village. *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, *11*(3), 247-254.
- Dar, M. H., De Janvry, A., Emerick, K., Raitzer, D., & Sadoulet, E. (2013). Flood-tolerant rice reduces yield variability and raises expected yield, differentially benefitting socially disadvantaged groups. *Scientific reports*, *3*(1), 3315.
- Khan, M., Arshad, A., Haji, S., & Ahmed, A. (2025). Assessing Agrometeorological Damage after the 2022 Pakistan Floods: Insights from Multi-Sensor Satellite Data. *Earth Systems and Environment*, 1-21.
- Qian, L., Luo, Y., & Duan, K. (2025). Current Research on Quantifying Cotton Yield Responses to Waterlogging Stress: Indicators and Yield Vulnerability. *Plants*, *14*(15), 2293.
- USDA, 2022: Pakistan: Cotton and Products Update. Foreign Agriculture Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Data Retrieved from <https://www.fas.usda.gov/data/pakistan-cotton-and-products-update-13>
- Nikolić, A., Božić, M., Delić, N., Marković, K., Milivojević, M., Čamdžija, Z., & Ignjatović Micić, D. (2025). The early growth of maize under waterlogging stress, as measured by growth, biochemical, and molecular characteristics. *Biology*, *14*(2), 111.
- Kalairaj, A., Rajendran, S., Panda, R. C., & Senthilvelan, T. (2024). A study on waterlogging tolerance in sugarcane: a comprehensive review. *Molecular Biology Reports*, *51*(1), 747.
- Xu, Z., Ye, L., Shen, Q., & Zhang, G. (2024). Advances in the study of waterlogging tolerance in plants. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *23*(9), 2877-2897.

- Stavi, I., Thevs, N., & Priori, S. (2021). Soil salinity and sodicity in drylands: A review of causes, effects, monitoring, and restoration measures. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 9, 712831.
- Qureshi, A. S. (2020). Groundwater governance in Pakistan: From colossal development to neglected management. *Water*, 12(11), 3017.
- Qureshi, A. S. (2016). Perspectives for bio-management of salt-affected and waterlogged soils in Pakistan. In *Agroforestry for the Management of Waterlogged Saline Soils and Poor-Quality Waters* (pp. 97-108). New Delhi: Springer India.
- Li, X., Chang, S. X., & Salifu, K. F. (2014). Soil texture and layering effects on water and salt dynamics in the presence of a water table: a review. *Environmental reviews*, 22(1), 41-50.
- Qureshi, A. S., McCornick, P. G., Qadir, M., & Aslam, Z. (2008). Managing salinity and waterlogging in the Indus Basin of Pakistan. *agricultural water management*, 95(1), 1-10.