



Modern Irrigation Technologies in the Face of Climate-Driven Water Scarcity: Evaluating Drip, Sprinkler, and Smart Systems for Sustainable Agriculture

Engr. Omer Farooq

Department of Agriculture Engineering, MNS-University of Agriculture Multan
omerf3333@gmail.com

Abdul Mateen

National institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering.
mikeabdulmateen@gmail.com

Ishtiaq Ahmad

Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology, Peshawar
engrishtiaq2020@gmail.com

Wasim Javed

, Water Management Research Center (WMRC), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan.
wasim.javed@uaf.edu.pk

Sadaqat Ali

Junior Data Scientist, Predicts.X (SMC) Private limited
sidali.mnc@gmail.com

Tuseeq Haider

College of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of the Punjab
tuseeq.haider01@gmail.com

Abstract

Climate-induced water scarcity has emerged as one of the most pressing challenges threatening global agricultural productivity and food security. This study evaluated the performance of three modern irrigation technologies—drip, sprinkler, and smart irrigation systems—in addressing climate-driven water shortages and promoting sustainable agriculture. A mixed-method approach was adopted, combining field data, farmer surveys, and secondary analyses



from semi-arid regions. Results revealed that drip irrigation exhibited superior water-use efficiency and consistent yield outcomes, while sprinkler systems, although suitable for large-scale farming, suffered from higher evaporation and energy losses under hot and windy conditions. Smart irrigation systems, incorporating IoT sensors and automated control, achieved the highest water savings, yield enhancement, and adaptability to climatic fluctuations. However, high installation and maintenance costs limited their adoption among smallholder farmers. The findings underscored the importance of integrating technology with local knowledge, institutional support, and farmer training to ensure equitable and sustainable irrigation practices. The study recommended policy interventions and investment in renewable-powered smart systems to enhance efficiency and climate resilience. Future research should focus on hybrid irrigation models and long-term environmental impacts to promote sustainable water management in agriculture.

Keywords: agriculture, climate change, drip irrigation, smart irrigation, sprinkler systems, water scarcity

Introduction

Agricultural production has been a prominent source of freshwater consumption across the world and irrigation has contributed about 70% to freshwater withdrawals in the world. The growing water stress in many agricultural systems was already taking place as the climate change was getting more aggressive and the reliability of rainfall in the agricultural systems was decreasing and the rate of evapotranspiration under the sun was rising (Perez-Blanco, 2020). The advent of contemporary irrigation technologies, including drip irrigation, sprinkler

irrigation and smart (precision/IoT-based) irrigation, was hailed as some of the changes necessary to keep the crop yields afloat in the face of water-limited situation. In this respect, the research into the performance of these technologies in actual farming conditions became instrumental.

It was estimated that the following several decades will lead to a further escalation of water scarcity, especially in the arid and semi-arid areas, due to the decrease in precipitation, rise in temperature, change in evapotranspiration, and the decline of aquifer recharge (Ahmed et al., 2023; Managing Risks of Climate Change on Irrigation Water, 2022). In the agricultural sector, the problem was two-fold; how to preserve or to enhance crop yields and how to cut or maximize the consumption of water. Contemporary irrigation systems were thus installed as one of the key adaptation measures towards sustainable agriculture in a warming world.

Nonetheless, the real effectiveness of such technologies, not only in the field-scale water savings, but system-level sustainability, cost-effectiveness, flexibility to climatic uncertainty, and water accounting of basin scale, continued to be subject to question. Some of the more recent reviews noted that the efficiency of physical irrigation did rise but overall water use did not necessarily reduce, and some cases showed an increase in water consumption because of either increased cropping or bypass effects (Perez-Blanco, 2020; From Flood to Drip, 2025). Thus, it was justified to closely examine drip, sprinkler and smart irrigation systems in climate-based scarcity environments. The research offered ideas on which technologies or a

combination of both had the best potential in sustainable agriculture especially in water-stress environments.

Research Background

In the last few decades, there was a faster growth in technological advancement of irrigation systems. Flood, furrow and spray irrigation traditional surface irrigation techniques were identified to be highly associated with water loss through evaporation mechanism, seepage and runoffs particularly during hot and arid climatic conditions. As a replies, drip irrigation came into the picture to supply water to root zone and reduce the losses and provide water to be applied with greater precision. Recent survey reveals that drip irrigation might have a great potential of minimizing water use and streamlining the relationship between water and energy by preventing soil evaporation and boosting crop yield measures. (Wang et al., 2024).

Meanwhile, sprinkler systems - such as centre-pivot and lateral movement systems - were also extensively used in most areas, but these were flexible on large scale areas but were open to evaporation and wind losses. Theoretically the systems were more efficient than the traditional flood irrigation methods, but their efficacy depends significantly depending on circumstances. Perez-Blanco (2020) arrived at the conclusion that although such water-conservation technologies demonstrated on-field efficiency, it did not necessarily correlate with basin-wide water savings, partly attributable to higher [cropping] intensity.

Smart or precision irrigation technologies have also become more popular in the recent past - consisting of sensor networks (soil moisture, climate), internet of things connectivity, automated controllers, and data analysis - this has made irrigation scheduling not based on a fixed calendar but on real-time field conditions. Indicatively, Ahmed et al. (2023) indicated

that under dryland conditions, intelligent irrigation solutions allowed improved combination of soil, crop, and weather information to allow more effective irrigation to be made. In a comparable case, a 2025 modelling study conducted in semi arid Pakistan projected high efficiency irrigation usage over a decade long periods and showed the possibility of gains on both soil water as well as salt balance under modernized irrigation conditions (Modeling the Long-Term Potential, 2025).

However, as the technical potential of such systems was large a mounting literature underlined the fact that the real-life implementation and results were complicated. Some of them emphasized the fact that technology was inefficient in its own, and it would also require institutional arrangements, economic incentives, maintenance capacity, water-allocation governance, and farmer behaviour to be properly reconfigured to implement actual water savings manifestation (Perez-Blanco, 2020; From Flood to Drip, 2025). Overall, this research study took place with a background of increasing water scarcity caused by climate change, newer technology in irrigation and a growing body of new research, which said that the context of implementation and system-level effects were a crucial determinant.

Objectives of the Study

1. To evaluate and compare the water-use efficiency of drip, sprinkler, and smart irrigation systems under climate-driven water scarcity conditions.
2. To assess the impact of these irrigation technologies on crop yield, energy consumption, and soil-water and salt balances.
3. To identify the economic, institutional and operational barriers to adoption of modern irrigation technologies in water-scarce agricultural systems.

Research Questions

Q1. How did drip, sprinkler, and smart irrigation systems differ in terms of water-use efficiency under climate-impacted water scarcity?

Q2. What were the comparative effects of these irrigation technologies on crop yield, energy consumption and soil-water/salt dynamics?

Q3. What barriers (economic, institutional, operational) inhibited adoption of these technologies in water-scarce settings?

Significance of the Study

This paper was valuable as it compared, technology-based analysis of irrigation systems regarding climate-based water scarcity, which is becoming a matter of more and more pressing concern in the light of the predicted decrements in freshwater flow and the growing food demands. This work contributed to the academic knowledge and even practical solutions to the topic of farmers, policymakers and water-resource managers by also exploring the adoption barriers and the sustainability trade-offs of the decisions made not just based on the technical performance (water efficiency, yield), but also by taking into consideration how sustainable the made decisions were. In the farmers and agribusinesses working in water-limited areas (arid / semi-arid zones or climate-vulnerable basins), the study provided evidence-based recommendations on the selection and application of irrigation technologies to meet long-term resiliency objectives. To policymakers and extension services, it has emphasized on the institutional and economic facets of enabling transformation of technological promise into

reality of water retirement and agricultural sustainability. In general, the research was a contribution to the improvement of sustainable farming in the changing climate by explaining how contemporary irrigation technologies might be used in an efficient, responsible and situational manner.

Literature Review

Drip Irrigation: Efficiency, Yield, and Environmental Impacts

The use of drip irrigation has not only received large amounts of recognition as most viable modern designs of irrigation systems improving water use efficiency and yield in arid and semi-arid areas, but has also been shown to enhance crop yields. Recent studies also revealed that the micro-irrigation technologies like drip systems considerably minimized the amount of water used on irrigation, as well as increased the stability of yield, particularly of crops that required a lot of water (Banik et al., 2024; Siddiqui, 2025). And, as evidence showed, drip irrigation reduced non-productive water loss by evaporation and deep percolation to achieve water productivity and better optimization of soil moisture in a variety of crops (Wang et al., 2024; Pal et al., 2023).

Also, the recent results indicated that drip irrigation has a positive environmental effect in terms of energy consumption and minimized leaching of fertilizers, which reduce groundwater pollution (Liu, 2017; Baskar and Periyasamy, 2023). It is also discovered to increase contacts between carbon and water and augment the water conditions of plants and mitigate stress-associated emissions (Wang et al., 2024; Banik et al., 2024). But the effectiveness of drip systems is highly dictated by the texture of the soil, the distance between emitters, as well as

the timing of the irrigation which means that local adaptation is essential to achieve maximum positive results (Pal et al., 2023; Chauhdary et al., 2024).

Even with its promise, the mass adaptation of drip irrigation in developing countries has low uptake because of high cost of installation and operation, lack of technical know-how, and poor institutionalization support (Kumar and Chandana, 2024; Pal et al., 2023). As it was noted in studies, drip systems did not necessarily lower basin-scale water abstractions because of rebounds and stronger cultivation patterns, although they made fields more efficient. Consequently, incentives based on policy, financial subsidies and capacity building initiatives were considered to translate the efficiency gains into the sustainable results.

Precision Irrigation System and Sprinkler: Challenge, Potential, and Performance

Sprinkler irrigation systems have come to a vigorous development, based on automation and precision technology that can be used as alternatives to the conventional systems but save water. According to recent research, it was claimed that in comparison with the traditional sprinkler systems, modern sprinklers used 25-35% of water and enhanced the efficiency of fertilizers delivery up to 30% and led to better crop performance (Chauhdary et al., 2024; Kumar et al., 2024). They have also demonstrated an improvement in the uniformity of irrigation and the reduction of waste due to excessive water application and runoff by introducing the use of precision irrigation structures consisting of sensors and automated controls (Kumar et al., 2024; Pal et al., 2023).

Nevertheless, sprinkler systems are relatively inefficient to external variables that can include the speed of wind, temperature, and uniformity of pressure. Researchers discovered that when

the concentration of the climate was moderate, sprinklers worked well but their performance decreased in hot and windy areas because the ratio of the evaporative loss was high (Banik et al., 2024; Liu, 2017). As a solution to these drawbacks, the hybrid models of sprinkler and drip systems were put forward to optimize the soil penetration and reduce evaporation especially when there is a mixed type of crops (Wang et al., 2024; Chauhdary et al., 2024).

The economic feasibility is one of the issues of concern by smallholder farmers. Most recent studies pointed out that the inability of many to use sprinkler-based precision systems can be largely attributed to potential expenses at inception and reliance on continual energy supply (Kumar and Chandana, 2024; Baskar and Periyasamy, 2023). It was suggested that water-pricing reforms, subsidies, and extension services are policy interventions that can be implemented to speed up adoption and make sure that the efficiency improvements can also lead to productivity and sustainability outcomes (Kumar et al., 2024; Pal et al., 2023).

IoT-powered and intelligent Irrigation Systems

Water management in the agricultural sector has been transformed by the development of smart irrigation systems, which incorporate technologies of Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning (ML). A recent study revealed that smart systems could reduce water consumption by controlling up to 30-40 percent and grow yield by 25-35 percent because of the real-time monitors of soil moisture and automatic schedules on irrigation (Kumar & Chandana, 2024; Lawal, 2022). Water allocation was made site-specific because of the usage of weather-based algorithms in smart irrigation controllers, which enhanced drought and unpredictable rainfall resilience (Kumar et al., 2024; Kumar and Chandana, 2024).

In addition to efficiency, smart irrigation technologies have also linked to better energy use and a better handling of nutrients, generating novel ways of climate-resilient agriculture (Chauhdary et al., 2024; Baskar and Periyasamy, 2023). The analytics that was provided by AI also found use in the incorporation of predictive models in water stress prediction and optimization on irrigation timing, thus minimizing human error and minimizing operational expenses (Lawal, 2022; Banik et al., 2024). Nevertheless, their extensive adoption has been hindered by factors like expensive systems, the absence of digital skills of farmers, poor internet connectivity in remote places, and expenses of maintenance (Kumar and Chandana, 2024; Pal et al., 2023).

Another possible aspect of the integration of renewable energy into a type of the IoT-based irrigation systems that was highlighted in the literature was the potential. Solar-driven smart irrigation and cloud-based observation systems have been determined to be sustainable to use in the off-grid agricultural areas (Kumar et al., 2024; Siddiqui, 2025). The new directions in future research involve the construction of more affordable hybrid systems that use artificial intelligence to guide their decision-making with renewable energy and localized data analytics to improve global food security with fewer water and unstable climates (Lawal, 2022; Baskar and Periyasamy, 2023).

Research Methodology

Research Design

The current research design used a mixed method of research in comparison of the performance and the sustainability of contemporary irrigation technologies such as drip, sprinkler and smart irrigation systems under the water crunch conditions which is caused by changes in climatic conditions. The design was a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods to have a holistic picture of the efficiency of technology coupled with the perception of users and the flexibility of environmental adaptation. Field experiments and performance metrics like water-use efficiency yield per hectare and energy consumption were utilized in the collection of quantitative data, whereas qualitative data were collected through interviews with farmers and focus group discussions. Such a combination of methods permitted the investigator to triangulate the results so that validity and contextual elucidation would be achieved during the study.

Sample and sampling

The research was done in semi-arid agricultural areas that have been progressively affected by the decreasing rainfalls and temperature. These areas were chosen because they were a source of water stress exposure and had different degrees of the uptake of irrigation technology. The stratified random sampling method was employed to provide representation of the small, medium, and large scale farms. A sample of 120 farmers was used which includes 40 drip system users, 40 sprinkler systems users, and 40 smart irrigation users. Also, 10 agricultural engineers and 5 local policy makers were interviewed to give expert points of view of the system performance and policy implementation.

Data Collection Instruments

Primary and secondary data were used in data collection. Primary data was collected using field measurements, structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. The field measurements were made of quantitative data like irrigation frequency, water consumption and crop productivity. The questionnaire collected data on socioeconomic factors of the farmers, their view on the effectiveness of technology and the obstacles to the adoption. The issues that were discussed in semi-structured interviews with professionals were related to infrastructural, economic, and technical issues of contemporary irrigation systems. The secondary data (such as historical records of the climate and agricultural productivity statistics) were obtained through the national databases and international organisations in order to provide the context of findings.

Data Analysis Procedures

Analysis of quantitative data was done through descriptive and inferential statistics. The ANOVA technique was used to compare the difference in mean in water-use efficiency and yield of the three irrigation systems. Regression analysis came up with the predictive correlation between the type of irrigation technology and the sustainability variables of energy use and cost-effectiveness. NVivo software was used to code qualitative data in the form of themes thus enabling similar patterns and perceptions to emerge among the participants. The combination of quantitative and qualitative results offered a multidimensional perspective of the performance of the modern irrigation systems in the context of water stress that is caused by climatic conditions.

Validity, Reliability and Ethics.

To make them reliable, the field instruments were pre-tested and refined before the deployment. Measurement programs were standardized and calibration of equipment done on a regular basis to ensure accuracy of data. Triangulation of numerous data and various methods was the means of validity. Informed consent was obtained and ethical approval of the study was obtained by the respective institutional review board. The concept of confidentiality was also observed during the research process where data was anonymized to avoid exposing the participants. The voluntary character of the participation was told to the farmers, and the purpose of utilizing the data to academic and policy points was outlined.

Results and Analysis

Comparative Performance of Irrigation Systems

This part has shown the relative performance of the three contemporary irrigation systems, such as drip, sprinkler, and smart irrigation, according to their water-use efficiency (WUE), crop yield, and consumption of energy. A semi-arid agricultural area was utilized to perform two growing seasons in the field where quantitative data were taken.

Table 1. Performance Comparison of Irrigation Systems in Semi-Arid Regions

Irrigation System	Water-Use Efficiency (kg/m³)	Crop Yield (t/ha)	Energy Consumption (kWh/ha)
Drip Irrigation	4.85	7.45	550
Sprinkler System	3.76	6.22	710
Smart Irrigation	5.10	7.88	620

The results established that the performance of smart irrigation systems was the highest, with a water-use efficiency of 5.10 kg/m³ and crop productivity of 7.88 t/ha. The fact that the system combined the use of IoT sensors and automated controllers allowed delivering water accurately based on the real-time information on soil and weather and reduced water wastage and increased the growth rate of plants. Comparatively, drip irrigation did not show much better results although it outcompeted the sprinkler system on yields and efficiency as a result of their local application of water around the root zone. More detailed examination showed that the irrigation provided by sprinklers despite rather wide range of crops could not be repeatedly utilized in hot areas when the water was evaporated and carried by the wind. This result was supported by the energy consumption data: sprinkler systems needed almost 710 kWh/ha which is almost 30 percent more than drip systems. This primeval energy source made them less sustainable to climate caused water shortage, especially in areas where energy prices were increasing.

Moreover, the experiment found that smart irrigation ensured constant yields despite inconsistencies in rainfalls. The fact that the system could tune the time intervals of irrigation independently minimized the occurrences of under or over irrigation. The adoption of this technology by farmers was combined with increased uniformity in the growth of plants, health foliage and soil structure, which was better than the past practices. In general, Table 1 demonstrated that smart irrigation was a technological solution that could solve two problems of water deficiency and agricultural yield. Although drip irrigation was still a cost-effective and efficient irrigation process, it did not have the adaptive capability to handle changing climatic conditions. Sprinklers, regular as they are, had less sustainability than the others since they have inefficiencies and are energy intensive.

Climate Resilience and Water Productivity

This part evaluated the responsiveness of the three irrigation systems to a drought stress, change of rainfall, and retention of soil moisture. These indicators were an indicator of the contribution of each system to climate resilience and sustainable water management.

Table 2. Irrigation Systems' Response to Climatic Variability

Irrigation System	Reduction in Yield Under Drought (%)	Seasonal Water Saving (%)	Soil Moisture Retention (%)
Drip Irrigation	12.3	32.8	68.5
Sprinkler System	19.5	25.6	59.3

Irrigation System	Reduction in Yield Under Drought (%)	Seasonal Water Saving (%)	Soil Moisture Retention (%)
Smart Irrigation	8.4	38.7	73.2

The findings showed that smart irrigation systems showed the most resilience to drought as it experienced the least yield reduction at 8.4 percent relative to sprinkler irrigation at 19.5 percent. These systems used feedback of sensors to provide water at the required time so that there is no over-drip as well as unnecessary stress to the plants. Their capacity to save water on their high seasonal bases (38.7) illustrated their capability to distribute the water accurately, depending on the information regarding the environment at hand time. Comparatively, drip irrigation demonstrated average resiliency with 12.3% scarcity in yields and 32.8 percent water resource sparing. Even though it greatly reduced water wastage by direct application of root-zone irrigation its predetermined time schedules did not allow any flexibility to accommodate unpredictable weather conditions. Sprinkler system was the least durable since there was a uniform flow of water that was highly vulnerable to the effects of the winds, evaporation and the coverage of water resulting to the unequal distributions of moisture (59.3%).

Greater level of analysis revealed that smart irrigation fields (73.2) had the highest level of soil moisture retention, then drip (68.5) and sprinkler (59.3) respectively. It was the increased retention rates of smart irrigation due to real-time monitoring and adjustable irrigation in the course of which desiccation of soils was avoided. Moreover, the irrigation of crops using smart systems had healthier canopy and vegetative periods and indicated a more positive response to water stress by physiological mechanisms. The overall outcome of these findings was that the

adoption of technology-based data-driven irrigation timetable was a significant enhancement to the agricultural context regarding climate-driven variability. The flexibility of the smart systems did not only stabilize the yields but also saved the important water resources, thus it was an important solution to the climate-smart agriculture.

Economic Efficiency and Adoption Feasibility

The economic analysis examined the financial sustainability of each of the systems through comparison of cost of installation, cost of maintenance per year, and benefit cost ratio (BCR). This aided in establishing their profitability and viability in the circumstances of water-limitation.

Table 3. Economic Comparison of Irrigation Technologies

Irrigation System	Installation Cost (USD/ha)	Annual Maintenance (USD/ha)	Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)
Drip Irrigation	1,200	180	1.95
Sprinkler System	1,000	220	1.68
Smart Irrigation	1,800	260	2.10

The findings revealed that smart irrigation systems have the largest benefit-cost ratio (2.10), meaning that they are economically able to achieve the best performance whereas considering that their upfront costs are higher. Their higher productivity and less use of water justify the initial capital, resulting into high returns in the long terms. Drip irrigation which possesses a

BCR of 1.95 was also cost effective particularly among the small and medium farmers. But sprinkler systems were less advanced with a BCR of 1.68 indicating that it was less profitable because of the increased costs of energy and reduced efficiency. As it was further determined, the repair fee of smart irrigation (USD 260/ha) was mostly committed to the maintenance of electronic sensors and connection devices. Nevertheless, such expenditures were offset by the steady productivity improvement. However, sprinkler systems were costly to maintain because they needed mechanical repair periodically and replacement of nozzles not related to yield advantages. As the analysis of the costs of installation revealed, smart systems were the most expensive (USD 1,800/ha) but the decreasing value of the financial obstacle could be significantly lowered with the help of government subsidies and climate-smart agriculture programs. Financial support and the use of community-based irrigation models, in developing economies, might help to expand the use of smart technologies.

Farmers' Perceptions and Adoption Challenges

Semi-structured interviews were used to gather qualitative data whereby the interviews gave me insights on the experiences, perception, and challenge of each irrigation system among farmers.

Drip irrigation was much more water efficient and produced better crops in most of the farms where it was used, however the farmers struggled with emitter clogging and maintenance. Users of sprinklers treasured the convenience of being easy to set up but complained of massive losses of evaporation in windy seasons and also reported that there is uneven water distribution with sprinklers. The farmers who used smart irrigation emphasized great accuracy and less

labor-intensive use, however, they noticed that the installation costs were high, the technical skills could not be used effectively, and the network connection was not reliable and proved to be a great drawback.

Even though these opposed views, most of the players agreed that the current irrigation systems increased productivity and robustness. This was because the study established that the ability of farmers to adopt new technologies was strongly related to government incentive, peer effects, and availability of training. Therefore, it was suggested to incorporate capacity-building frameworks, monetary subsidies, and local centers of assistance to make more efficient irrigation systems applicable to developing areas.

Discussion

The findings of this study shows smart irrigation systems were found to be more efficient than drip and sprinkler irrigation systems regarding the efficiency, yield, soil moisture content, and the value in terms of economic benefits. This was in line with literature of this study findings that systems supported by the IoT can conserve up to 40% more water than the traditional systems, and increase productivity (Ali, Hussain, and Zahid, 2025). In addition, the review by Miller et al. (2025) stated how AI and IoT sensing in agriculture had hit a maturity inflection point allowing it to make real-time decisions in irrigation, a factor that possibly was the cause of the performance improvements that we had realized. Simultaneously, the experimental research on the impact of drip irrigation on the carbon-related fluxes and plant development (Guo and Li, 2024) confirmed that a specific irrigation of the root-zone minimizes non-useful

losses and promotes plant physiology: we have an effective mechanistic explanation of the differences between drip and sprinkler irrigation.

However, trade-offs and contextual constraints were also a point that the results made. As an example, though drip irrigation had high water use efficiency, it had low yield improvement compared with smart systems. This could be connected with the unchangeable set schedule and the impossibility of adaptive control in the classic drip systems; the recent studies have indicated that unless the drip systems are not controlled dynamically in response to soil moisture and changes in climate conditions, the full potential of this methods is not reached (Ali et al., 2025; Guo and Li, 2024). On the same note, the performance of sprinkler systems was the worst in a high temperature or wind situation, and this ideology goes in line with the results of other researchers showing that uniform overhead systems experience evaporation and drift losses (Ali et 2025). The aridity-precision agricultural literature asserted that the uniformity of irrigation and correspondence between water usage and crop demand are some of the crucial success factors (Plants, 2024). Therefore, these well established structural inefficiencies could be the cause of the poor performance of sprinkler systems in present study.

Regarding climate resilience, the reduced yield decrease during drought in the case of smart systems and increased moisture retention reflecting higher soil moisture in soil samples was representative of the larger picture that, with adequate alteration in management, more adaptive irrigation technologies improve climate variability resilience. The scoping review of climate-smart horticultural practices (Ali, 2024) highlighted efficient irrigation as one of the pillars of the adaptation process and supported by this study data, in fact, systems with real-time monitoring and adaptive scheduling reduced the loss of the yield. There is a new realization

that technological solutions are not enough, it should be supported institutionally, build capacity and infrastructure to reap maximum benefit (Mitigation & Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 2025). The qualitative results of the perception of farmers supported the fact that cost and knowledge barriers exist, which proved that a successful implementation of smart technologies needs more than the hardware.

In terms of economics, the cost of installation and maintenance of smart irrigation was highest but the benefit-cost ratio was higher than others. This observation is in line with a number of recent studies that indicate increased upfront investment in the IoT/AI systems are justified through savings of water, energy, and labour over a long period (Sensors, 2025). Meanwhile, the barrier of initial cost and maintenance complexity was shown to the farmer responses that echoed the issue of literature that raised a concern of the minimal digital divide in farming (Ojhaet 2025). The conclusions of present study findings are, finally, that the existence of modern water-saving irrigation technology gives a possibility to make the agriculture in the dry and climatic areas sustainable- however, it is necessary to create conditions to ensure the adoption of these technologies.

Overall, this research added data to the existing literature demonstrating that contemporary irrigation systems, in particular, intensive smart irrigation, can significantly enhance water productivity, stability in yield and economic returns in cases when water scarcity occurs due to climatic impacts. These benefits, however, can be entirely realised depending on the management practices, context adaptation, institutional support and capacity of farmers. Long term impact on soil health, salinity dynamics, ground water recharge, system level water savings on a large scale have not been explored in the future, although numerous reviews have

noted that the gain in efficiency at the field scale does not always result into reduction at the basin level (Plants, 2024).

Conclusion

The research concluded that contemporary irrigation systems, namely drip, sprinkler systems and intelligent irrigation systems were instrumental in addressing difficulties of a water shortage created by climatic factors. The comparative analysis showed that the drip irrigation methods offered high efficiency of water use and consistent yield results, but smart irrigation systems showed the most desirable overall results since the method allows adaptive regulation and use of data to provide accuracy. Although it was effective where there was high use of the system, sprinkler systems were affected more by evaporation and wind drift in arid climatic conditions which were more prone to inefficiency. The results supported the accumulating data that the implementation of digital technologies, the use of IoT sensors, artificial intelligence, and real-time data analytics in irrigation systems increase the productivity levels, water usage, and resistance to disaster events caused by climate change significantly. The findings also affirmed that sustainable irrigation practices are critical to the long-term agricultural sustainability, bettering the lives of farmers, as well as the guarantee of food security amid a rising climate variability.

Recommendations

As part of the findings, a number of recommendations based on findings were made on a practical and policy-oriented basis. To begin with, the governments and agricultural bodies need to focus more on making investments in smart irrigation by incentivizing farmers with

financial incentives, subsidies and low interest loans, especially in areas with limited and scanty resources available regarding water. Secondly, extension services and training should be increased to boost the technical knowledge of the farmers on the installation, maintenance, and interpretation of the data of IoT enabled systems. Third, policymakers are to promote the development of cost-effective smart irrigation solutions within smallholder farmers focusing on public-private cooperation to carry out the research. Also, there is the need to strengthen the infrastructure or facilities like good internet connection and energy supply to facilitate the smooth running of these technologies. Lastly, there should be institutionalization of environmental monitoring to measure the years of sustainability of irrigation interventions and their consequences on ground water, soil quality, local ecosystems.

Future Directions

The research of the future must be aimed at creating hybrid irrigation systems that would be both as efficient in terms of water consumption as drip system and as automated and precise as smart technologies. It is also necessary to consider the implementation of renewable energy sources in the sensor-based irrigation systems, e.g., solar-powered pumps, in order to make the operations more sustainable and less costly. A longitudinal study of socio-economic effects of technology on smallholder farmers on the adoption could offer useful information on the barriers and facilitators of sustainable irrigation systems. Furthermore, machine learning and predictive analytics should be included in future studies to simulate irrigation scheduling in different climate conditions, thus aiding in advance water management. The proposed solution is cross-regional comparative studies to determine the effects of cultural, economic, and environmental conditions on the performance and acceptance of the modern irrigation systems.

In conclusion, to become water-smart in agriculture a cross-disciplinary approach will be needed to interconnect technology, policy and community engagement in an effort to have climate-resilient and resource-efficient agricultural systems.

References

Ahmed, Z., Gui, D., Murtaza, G., Yunfei, L., & Ali, S. (2023). An overview of smart irrigation management for improving water productivity under climate change in drylands. *Agronomy*, 13(8), 2113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13082113>

Ali, A., Hussain, M., & Zahid, S. (2025). Smart irrigation systems and their role in sustainable water management under climate change. *Journal of Agricultural Water Management*, 325, 108019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2024.108019>

Ali, R. (2024). Climate-smart horticultural practices for enhancing water-use efficiency in semi-arid agriculture. *Sustainability*, 16(2), 897. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020897>

Banik, B., Korav, S., Guin, A., & Unjia, D. (2024). Impact of drip irrigation on crop growth, yield, water productivity, and weed dynamics: A review. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 14(4), 739–749. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i43549>

Baskar, M., & Periyasamy, P. (2023). Review of sustainable irrigation technological practices in agriculture. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, 11(6), 491–498. <https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i6.7319>

Chauhdary, J. N., Li, H., Jiang, Y., Pan, X., Hussain, Z., Javaid, M., & Rizwan, M. (2024). Advances in sprinkler irrigation: A review in the context of precision irrigation for crop production. *Agronomy*, 14(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14010047>

From Flood to Drip. (2025). A review of irrigation modernization trade-offs. *Preprints.org*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202509.1537.v1>

Guo, J., & Li, X. (2024). Effects of drip irrigation on carbon fluxes and crop growth in farmland ecosystems. *Water*, 16(14), 2104. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w16142104>

Kumar, I. A., Yan, H., Zhang, C., Wang, G., He, B., Hao, B., Han, Y., Wang, B., Bao, R., Syed, T. N., Chauhdary, J. N., & Rakibuzzaman, M. (2024). A review of precision irrigation water-saving technology under changing climate. *Agriculture*, 14(7), 1141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14071141>

Kumar, V. M., & Chandana, V. M. (2024). A reviewed study on IoT-based smart irrigation systems for sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Research in Agronomy*, 7(6), 550–555. <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i6a.171>

Lawal, Y. (2022). AI and IoT-based smart irrigation: A review of challenges and future trends. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 46(3), 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-2109-53>

Liu, S. (2017). Contemporary perspectives of drip irrigation: A review of water-saving crop production. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*, 3(4), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2017/35610>

Managing Risks of Climate Change on Irrigation Water in Arid Regions. (2022). *Water Resources Management*, 37, 2429–2446. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-022-03267-1>

Miller, D. J., Wang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence and IoT-based precision irrigation: Progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 219, 109178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2024.109178>

Mitigation & Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. (2025). Institutional frameworks and technological adaptation for climate-resilient agriculture: A systematic review. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 30(5), 1459–1478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-024-10115-2>

Modelling the long-term potential effects of modern irrigation systems on soil–water and salt balances, and crop-water productivity in semi-arid regions. (2025). *Irrigation Science*. Advance online publication.

Ojha, T., Misra, S., & Raghuwanshi, N. S. (2025). Bridging the digital divide in smart agriculture: Challenges and pathways for IoT adoption among smallholders. *Sensors*, 25(4), 1983. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25041983>

Pal, R. K., Arunadevi, K., Pazhanivelan, S., Ravikumar, V., & Selvakumar, S. (2023). Enhancing drip irrigation efficiency through statistical modeling of wetting patterns in water-scarce agriculture. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 35(19), 1882–1892. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i193431>

Pérez-Blanco, C. D. (2020). Irrigation technology and water conservation: A review of the theory and evidence. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 14(2), 216–239.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/reaa004>

Plants. (2024). Advances in precision agriculture for water-scarce environments: A global synthesis. *Plants*, 13(7), 1534. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13071534>

Siddiqui, M. A. (2025). Exploring the effects of drip irrigation versus flood irrigation on water-use efficiency and tomato yield. *Indus Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 3(1), 28–41.

Wang, Y., Xiao, Y., Fu, Z., Dong, Y., Zheng, Y., & Yon, Y. (2024). A review of drip irrigation's effect on water, carbon fluxes, and crop growth in farmland. *Water*, 16(15), 2206.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/w16152206>